

CLEMENT SETH ROBERTS (SBN 209203)  
croberts@orrick.com  
BAS DE BLANK (SBN 191487)  
basdeblank@orrick.com  
ALYSSA CARIDIS (SBN 260103)  
acaridis@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
The Orrick Building  
405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669  
Telephone: +1 415 773 5700  
Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759

SEAN M. SULLIVAN (*pro hac vice*)  
sullivan@ls3ip.com  
J. DAN SMITH (*pro hac vice*)  
smith@ls3ip.com  
MICHAEL P. BOYEA (*pro hac vice*)  
boyea@ls3ip.com  
COLE B. RICHTER (*pro hac vice*)  
richter@ls3ip.com  
LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP  
656 W Randolph St., Floor 5W  
Chicago, IL 60661  
Telephone: +1 312 754 0002  
Facsimile: +1 312 754 0003

*Attorneys for Sonos, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SONOS, INC.,  
Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,  
v.  
GOOGLE LLC,  
Defendant and Counter-Claimant.

Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA  
Consolidated with  
Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA

**SONOS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO  
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

Judge: Hon. William Alsup  
Pretrial Conf.: May 3, 2023  
Time: 12:00 p.m.  
Courtroom: 12, 19th Floor  
Trial Date: May 8, 2023

1 Sonos submits the following responses to the Court's request for information, Dkt. 649.

2 **1. In the real world, how much money has the IFTTT app developer received as a**  
3 **result of sales on the Google Play store?**

4 Sonos does not have access to the amount of money the IFTTT app developer received  
5 from sales on the Google Play store. Sonos reached out to IFTTT for this information after the  
6 Court issued its questions but has not heard back. The Court should note that IFTTT is also  
7 available on the Apple App store and that Apple has a larger market share than Google.

8 For context: Sonos's damages calculation does not depend on the *amount* of money that  
9 IFTTT received for sales of its solution on the Google Play store. That is because Sonos used  
10 IFTTT to establish the *price* that consumers are willing to pay for a comparable technological  
11 solution, not the amount of *revenue* that solution generates when sold on its own. Why?  
12 Because, of course, both Sonos and Google bundle similar solutions into their products ... so  
13 consumers don't generally *need* an after-market solution. To give an analogy, the market price of  
14 an after-market steering wheel can be used as a starting point for a damages calculation on a  
15 steering-wheel patent even though cars already come with steering wheels and thus there is a  
16 relatively small after-market. The question is whether the *price* of the comparable after-market  
17 steering wheel reflects the fair market value. In this case the facts suggest it does: the makers of  
18 IFTTT allowed consumers some flexibility to choose their price and Sonos used the *lowest* price  
19 that consumers could choose. Having the actual data about the number of IFTTT sales and their  
20 various price points might have allowed Sonos to use a *higher* price as the market price ... but the  
21 approach Sonos used (taking the lowest available price as the market price) is clearly *reasonable*.

22 **2. In the real world, how many downloads have been made of the IFTTT app?**

23 As of May 3, 2022, the IFTTT app was downloaded between 5 million and 10 million  
24 times from the Google Play Store. The IFTTT app is also available on the Apple App store, but  
25 the Apple App store does not show the number of downloads. The Android market makes up 40-  
26 45% of the US Market depending on the year, with the remainder being almost entirely Apple.

1     **3.     How many users have the Google Home app installed?**

2             Sonos accuses computing devices installed with at least the Google Home App of  
3     infringing the '966 patent. There are between [REDACTED] installations of the  
4     Google Home App from Q4 2019 through Q4 2022. *See* 12/9/22 Malackowski Suppl. Rep. at 29-  
5     30; 1/23/23 Malackowski Reply Rep. at 21. Sonos intends to use the lower number in the  
6     damages calculation it presents at trial.

7     **4.     How many uses does Sonos accuse of infringing the patents?**

8             Both the '885 and '966 patents are device claims, not method claims. As such, Sonos is  
9     not relying on *use* of the accused products for purposes of establishing infringement. The '885  
10    patent claims zone players with software capable of performing certain functions. Sonos accuses  
11    Google media players of infringing the '885 patent. The players are *sold* with software capable of  
12    performing all the claimed functions. *See* Dkt. 309 at 9 (Order on Summary Judgment  
13    confirming that “the accused products only need to be reasonably capable of satisfying the  
14    limitation.”); *NetFuel, Inc. v. Cisco Sys. Inc.*, 438 F. Supp. 3d 1031, 1035 (N.D. Cal. 2020)  
15    (Davila, J.) (applying the “reasonably capable” test to a claim similarly employing “when  
16    executed” language); 35 U.S.C. § 271 (Anyone who “makes, uses, offers to sell, or *sells* any  
17    patented invention, within the United States or imports into the United States any patented  
18    invention during the term of the patent therefor,” infringes the patent). Google sold [REDACTED]  
19    accused media players between Q4 2020 and Q3 2022. 1/23/23 Malackowski Reply Rep. at 8.

20            Sonos accuses computing devices installed with at least the Google Home App of  
21    infringing the '966 patent. When the Google Home App is *installed on* a computing device,  
22    infringement occurs by way of “making” the claimed invention, because the Google Home App  
23    programming is capable of performing every function recited in the claims. Dkt. 309 at 9;  
24    35 U.S.C. § 271 (Anyone who “*makes*, uses, offers to sell, or sells any patented invention, within  
25    the United States or imports into the United States any patented invention during the term of the  
26    patent therefor,” infringes the patent). Sonos therefore does not accuse “uses” in the sense of  
27    performing the acts of making and invoking zone scenes of infringement.  
28

1 Dated: May 5, 2023

2 ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
3 *and*  
4 LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP

5 By: /s/ Clement Seth Roberts

6 Clement Seth Roberts

7 *Attorneys for Sonos, Inc.*  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28